



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/042,669	01/09/2002	David Small	78781P031	6158	
8791 75	590 10/31/2003	EXAMINER			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			ACKUN, JACOB K		
LOS ANGELE	•	NIHFLOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3712	7	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DEST AVAILABLE COPY

				( <del>/</del>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/042,669	SMALL ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jacob K. Ackun Jr.	3712	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the metal patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  From the mailing date of this communication  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status				
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
2a)☐	·—	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	•	• •	s is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-91</u> is/are pending in the application	tion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-91</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		ı
· · _	on Papers	ta a a		>
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	<u></u>	<b>5</b>	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	•		$\ddot{c}$
11)□:	Applicant may not request that any objection to The proposed drawing correction filed on	•	• • •	
'''	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		pproved by the Examiner.	8
12) 🗆 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			A
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)	$\geqslant$
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ng. priority and of orono. 3	10(4) (4) 61 (1).	ST AVAILAB
/-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		လ
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ication No.	a L
* 5	Copies of the certified copies of the p     application from the International     ee the attached detailed Office action for a l	riority documents have been red Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			ution)
_a	☐ The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has beer	received.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.	
Attachment	``	🗖	,,	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	. •

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/042,669

Art Unit: 3712

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims contain examples of indefiniteness that are too numerous to point out in every instance. Accordingly, only some of these examples are set forth below so that the applicant may review all of the claims and make corrections as necessary. Claims 8 and 9, for example only, are indefinite because they appear to be entirely functional. They do not appear to add a single structural element to the claims from which they depend. Claims such as claim 12 are indefinite because they call into question whether the applicant's intention is to claim the combination of chocolate with a chocolate dispenser or only the subcombination of the chocolate dispenser. Claim 1 is interpreted as being drawn only to the subcombination (note the language "to receive chocolate"). In other words no chocolate appears to be claimed in claim 1. On the other hand claim 12 recites a further limitation on the chocolate. Clarification of the scope of the claims is required. Finally claims 19 and 20 are indefinite because the phrase "the handle" in both claims lacks proper antecedent basis. Antecedent for the handle can be found, for example, in claim 16, but claims 19 and 20 are dependent on claim 12. Careful review of all of the claims of record and correction of the kinds of errors pointed to above is required in response

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

to this office action.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/042,669

Art Unit: 3712

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-16, 19, 20, 22-55 and 70-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or e) as being anticipated by Dagostino (6,460,736) or Herskovitz et al (4,265,618) or Belanger et al (5,026,187). Herskovitz and Belanger may not mention chocolate per se, however, as already noted none of the product claims expressly recites chocolate. It is by now well settled that features not claimed may not be relied on for patentability. Herskovitz and Belanger do teach structures on which the claimed products read including chamber, heater, nozzle and plunger. Accordingly the devices of these references are considered to be inherently capable of use with chocolate, as functionally recited ion the claims. D'Agostino is applied because it also meets the structural limitations of the claims in addition to showing use with chocolate.
- 5. Claims 4-5, 17-18, 21 and 56-69 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. These

Art Unit: 3712

claims are indicated as allowable because the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest any devices having all of the structural elements of the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob K. Ackun Jr. whose telephone number is (703)308-3867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8.30AM-5.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703)308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

Jacob K. Ackun Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

J.A.